placement of the video imagers and lights, and shown in Figures 2 and 3 of the application and described in detail.

This feature of the invention is neither shown nor suggested by Schubert, and thus claims 1, 5, and 9 should now be in condition for allowance.

The Examiner next rejected claim 8 as obvious over Schubert. Since claim 8 depends from claim 1, it should also be in condition for allowance.

The Examiner then rejected claims 3 and 4 as obvious over Schubert in view of Walsh. Walsh teaches an elongate tubular fixture which mounts a plurality of rotatable assemblies above a plurality of respective apertures in the tubular fixture. The rotatable assemblies position a number of lamps and one or more concealed miniature surveillance cameras to observe a gaming table or other surface of interest. The lamps are positioned above a gaming table, and thus shine downward on the gaming surface. In contrast the video imagers and lights of the present invention are positioned under the platform, and as defined in relation to the arcuate wall extending from the platform. This feature is neither shown nor suggested by either Schubert or Walsh, alone or in combination, and thus claim 1, and consequently claim 4, should now be in condition for allowance. Furthermore, although the Examiner recited Walsh for the feature of the positioning of the lamp, the Examiner failed to recite a "recess" below a platform when describing the teachings of Walsh, and thus has recognized that Walsh does not suggest this feature of the invention.

Finally, the Examiner rejected claims 6 and 7 as obvious over Schubert in view of Mothwurf. Mothwurf is cited for the teachings of an analogous system for tracking watering data at a gaming table, and not for any suggestion of the lighting or positioning of the lighting, as now recited in amended claim 1. Thus, claim 6 and 7, which depend from claim 1, should also be in condition for allowance.

The Applicants assert that all claims are now in condition for allowance and respectfully request early allowance. If the Examiner believes that a phone conference would expedite this case to issue, he is encouraged to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Tim Cook

Browning Bushman P.C. 5718 Westheimer, Suite 1800 Houston, Texas 77057 (713) 266-5593 (713) 222- (fax) bbrtc@prodigy.net

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 32,561

## **CLAIM AMENDMENTS**

1	1.	(Ame	nded twice)	A	computer	implemented	gambling	tracking system
2	compr	rising:						
3		a.	a central comp	oute	r;			
4		b.	a video multiplexer coupled to the central computer;					
5		c.	a gaming table associated with the video multiplexer;					
6		d.	a plurality of	vide	o imagers or	the gaming tab	le, the video	imagers coupled
7			to the video m	ultip	plexer, and e	each of the plura	lity of video	imagers directed
8			to a predeterm	ninec	d wagering l	ocation on the t	able;	
9		e.	a chip recogni	ition	system in the	he central comp	uter to deter	mine the value of
10			the wagers in	each	of the wag	ering locations;	[and]	
11		f.	a platform on	the	table above	the predetermin	ed wagering	location wherein
12			each of the pl	urali	ty of video	imagers is locate	ed below the	e platform;
13		<u>g.</u>	an arcuate wal	<u>l ext</u>	ending betw	een the platform	and the tabl	e, the arcuate wall
14			defining aper	tures	therethrou	gh, the video ir	nagers posit	ioned behind the
15			arcuate wall a	nd d	lirected thro	ugh the aperture	es; and	
16		<u>h.</u>	a light directe	d to	each of the	wagering locati	ons, the ligh	nt projecting from
17			the arcuate wa	all.				

Cancel claims 2, 3, and 16.